

Erratum

Dynamical scaling of matter density correlations in the Universe

An Application of the Dynamical Renormalization Group

A. Domínguez¹, D. Hochberg¹, J.M. Martín–García¹, J. Pérez–Mercader¹, and L.S. Schulman²

¹ Laboratorio de Astrofísica Espacial y Física Fundamental (INTA-CSIC), Apartado 50727, 28080 Madrid, Spain

² Department of Physics, Clarkson University, Potsdam, New York 13699-5820, USA

Astron. Astrophys. **344**, 27–35 (1999)

Eqs. (23) are dimensionally inconsistent: D_θ must be replaced everywhere in these equations (except in the equation for D_θ itself) by $D_\theta \Lambda^{-2\rho-4\theta} \nu^{-2\theta}$. The magnitude V which appears in these equations is defined in the lines just above the set of Eqs. (24). In these definitions there is also a typo: when defining U_θ , the exponent of ν must read $3 + 2\theta$. In conclusion, Eqs. (24) remain the same, but Eqs. (23) now read:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dm^2}{d \log s} &= zm^2, \\ \frac{d\nu}{d \log s} &= \nu \left[z - 2 - \frac{\lambda^2 K_d}{\nu^3 4d} \Lambda^{d-2} V^{-2} \{ (d - 2V^{-1}) D_0 + (d - 2V^{-1} - 2\rho) \Lambda^{-2\rho-4\theta} \nu^{-2\theta} D_\theta V^{-2\theta} (1 + 2\theta) \sec(\pi\theta) \} \right], \\ \frac{dD_0}{d \log s} &= D_0 (z - 2\chi - d) + \frac{\lambda^2 K_d}{\nu^3 4} \Lambda^{d-2} V^{-3} [D_0^2 + 2\Lambda^{-2\rho-4\theta} \nu^{-2\theta} D_0 D_\theta V^{-2\theta} (1 + 2\theta) \sec(\pi\theta) \\ &\quad + \Lambda^{-4\rho-8\theta} \nu^{-4\theta} D_\theta^2 V^{-4\theta} (1 + 4\theta) \sec(2\pi\theta)], \\ \frac{dD_\theta}{d \log s} &= D_\theta [z(1 + 2\theta) - 2\chi - d + 2\rho], \\ \frac{d\lambda}{d \log s} &= \lambda \left[\chi + z - 2 - \frac{\lambda^2 D_\theta K_d}{\nu^{3+2\theta} d} \Lambda^{d-2-2\rho-4\theta} V^{-3-2\theta} \theta (1 + 2\theta) \sec(\pi\theta) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

In order to enable the reader the reconstruction of the RG flow, we append Table 1 with the eigenvalues of the linearized RG-equations at each fixed point. We also add the following remark: the fixed point P1 is degenerated, because in terms of dimensional couplings it corresponds to three possible cases. One always has $m^2 = \lambda = 0$, $\nu \neq 0$ (implying $z = 2$) and one of the following three possibilities:

- $D_0 \neq 0$, $D_\theta = 0$: this yields $\chi = -1/2$, which is the quoted value for P1 in Table 1.
- $D_0 = 0$, $D_\theta \neq 0$: this yields $\chi = \rho + 2\theta - 1/2$.
- $D_0, D_\theta \neq 0$: this yields again $\chi = -1/2$, but this fixed point only exists if the constraint $\rho + 2\theta = 0$ is satisfied.

Point	IR-eigenvalue	Normalized eigenvector (U_θ, U_0, V)
P1	4.7	(1, 0, 0)
	-1	(0, 1, 0)
	2	(0, 0, 1)
P2	5.2	(-0.934, 0.358, 0)
	1	(0, 1, 0)
	2.2	(0, -0.932, 0.363)
P3	-1.7	(0.571, 0.786, 0.238)
	2.0	(0, 0.999, 0.050)
	-15.1	(0, -0.793, 0.610)
P4+ (for $\rho = 2.65, \theta = 0.1$)	-6.2	(-0.982, -0.188, 0)
	1.8	(-0.134, -0.991, 0)
	0.64	(0.557, 0.829, 0.042)
P4- (for $\rho = 2.65, \theta = 0.1$)	-5.5	(-0.917, 0.398, 0)
	-1.6	(-0.247, -0.969, 0)
	0.62	(0.972, 0.206, 0.116)
P5+ (for $\rho = 2.65, \theta = 0.1$)	$-0.4 + 3.5i$	$(-0.134 - 0.131i, 0.950, 0.188 - 0.165i)$
	$-0.4 - 3.5i$	$(-0.134 + 0.131i, 0.950, 0.188 + 0.165i)$
	-27.3	(-0.269, 0.118, -0.956)
P5- (for $\rho = 2.65, \theta = 0.1$)	-19.2	(0.569, -0.819, -0.080)
	8.5	(-0.942, -0.185, 0.279)
	-1.5	(0.911, -0.405, -0.075)